	1 I
1	STATE OF MICHIGAN
2	DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
3	BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
4	
5	PUBLIC HEARING
6	MONDAY, MAY 17, 2021
7	AT ABOUT 9:00 A.M.
8	
9	VIA ZOOM TELECONFERENCE
10	
11	RE: Cosmetology - General Rules (MOAHR #2020-50 LR)
12	
13	Pharmacy - Animal Euthanasia and Sedation Rules (MOAHR #2019-86-LR)
14	Podiatric Medicine and Surgery - General Rules (MOAHR #2020-24 LR)
15	(
16	
17	HEARING FACILITATOR:
18	DENA MARKS Bureau of Professional Licensing
19	611 W. Ottawa Street Lansing, Michigan 48909
20	ALSO PRESENT: Kerry Ryan Przybylo
21	Kimberly Catlin Andria Ditschman
22	
23	REPORTED BY: Lori Anne Penn, CSR-1315
24	
25	
	Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com
l	

	II	2
1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2	Opening Statement - Dena Marks	3
3		
4	COMMENTS:	PAGE
5	Katherine Pavlik - Cosmetology	6
6	Chakakhan Hill - Cosmetology	14
7	Cathy Anderson - Pharmacy	16
8	Shirene Cece-Clements - Pharmacy	17
9	Derek Dalling - Podiatric Medicine	19
10	Stacey Hettiger - Podiatric Medicine	19
11		
12	Closing Statement - Dena Marks	21
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	Donn Dononting IIC land named to the	
	Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com	

Lansing, Michigan
Monday, May 17, 2021
At 9:00 a.m.

- - -

(Public hearing commenced pursuant to due notice.)

MS. MARKS: Good morning. My name is

Dena Marks, and I'm an analyst for the Bureau of

Professional Licensing in the Department of Licensing and

Regulatory Affairs. I will be conducting the hearing

today.

This is a public hearing on the proposed administrative rules entitled "Cosmetology - General Rules", "Pharmacy - Animal Euthanasia and Sedation Rules", and "Podiatric Medicine and Surgery - General Rules". We are conducting the hearing as required by the Administrative Procedures Act to allow the public to comment on the proposed changes to these rule sets. As with all other public hearings on draft rule sets, the only items discussed during this hearing will be the proposed changes to the rule sets. The hearing will not be covering any questions or discussions on any other issues.

We are calling this hearing to order at 9:00 a.m. on May the 17th, 2021, via Zoom to comply with measures designed to help prevent the spread of Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

Coronavirus 2019, known as COVID-19, and the City of Lansing Resolution #2021-081. The notice of public hearing was published in three newspapers of general circulation, the Flint Journal, the Grand Rapids Press, and the Mining Journal on May the 4th, 2021, as well as the Michigan Register, Issue #8-2021, published on May the 15th of 2021.

All comments should relate directly to the proposed rules. If you have questions about the rules, you may place your comments on the record and the Department will review and consider them. If you have suggested changes to the proposed rules, please include the specific reasons why the changes would be in the public interest.

We will take comments in the following manner:

- For those of you using the Video Conference

 Portion (not calling on a telephone) please use
 the "Raise Your Hand" function in Zoom. I will
 call on individuals to speak and they will be
 unmuted at that time.
- For participants that are available only by telephone, we will ask if you wish to make a comment after the video participants have finished.

• If you have a comment but do not wish to speak, please note that the Department will also accept written statements e-mailed or postmarked to the BPL-BoardSupport@Michigan.gov until 5:00 p.m. today.

If you have previously submitted comments in writing to the Bureau, it is not necessary for you to repeat your comments on the record today. Comments made in writing will be reviewed and considered by the Department in the same manner as comments made during this public hearing.

Those making comments during this hearing should clearly and slowly say and spell your name and advise if you are speaking on behalf of an organization. We will limit comments to three minutes per person. Please remember that only one person should speak at a time.

The Department staff from the Bureau of Professional Licensing includes me, Kimberly Catlin, Andria Ditschman, and Kerry Przybylo.

Is there anyone who wishes to make comments on the Cosmetology - General Rules? Again, if you are using your video, please use the "Raise Your Hand" function and we will call on you.

MS. PRZYBYLO: Okay. I think -Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

MS. MARKS: Kathy Pavlik, can you unmute 1 yourself, and then you may speak, please. 2 3 KATHERINE PAVLIK: Yes. I have quite a 4 few things I want to speak on as far as the Cosmetology 5 Rules are concerned. COURT REPORTER: Can we have your 6 7 spelling of your name, please? KATHERINE PAVLIK: Katherine, 8 K-a-t-h-e-r-i-n-e, last name Pavlik, P-a-v-l-i-k. 9 10 MS. MARKS: And are you representing an 11 organization, Kathy? 12 KATHERINE PAVLIK: I work for Michigan 13 College of Beauty in Troy, Michigan. 14 MS. MARKS: Thank you. Go ahead with 15 your comments. 16 KATHERINE PAVLIK: Okay. Let me get them 17 ready, I didn't think we were going to do them this 18 quick. 19 So my first -- some of mine are 20 clarifications and them some of them are comments or 21 concerns on the actual rule. So my first one is on page 22 2, Rule No. 338.2171a. It states that we have to -- let 23 me see, read it here exactly. It says, it talks about 24 the grade level, but it doesn't state what grade level, 25 the requirement, where before we used to have a ninth

grade education grade level, this doesn't specify. So I'm just clarifying, is that still the case?

MS. MARKS: We'll take a look at that, Kathy. Thank you for your comment. You can go ahead.

KATHERINE PAVLIK: Okay. The second one is also on page 2, it's Rule 338.2171a, minimum practical applications has been removed as a definition. And I do agree with the practical hours needing to be in there as a definition, however, we still have to have minimum practical applications in the curriculum grid, so therefore I think we still need to have a definition of what minimum practical applications are. I know that they tried to take them out because they tried to remove minimum practical applications from the grid, but it stayed, so I think the definition needs to stay.

MS. PRZYBYLO: This is Kerry Przybylo speaking. Kathy, did you have any further comments?

KATHERINE PAVLIK: Yes. I was waiting for a response that you guys were comfortable with -
MS. PRZYBYLO: We don't respond. This is just our time to --

(Multiple speakers.)

KATHERINE PAVLIK: Okay. So I'll just keep rolling then. Okay. I'm sorry. I misunderstood. So I have a lot.

On page 3, Rule No. R 338.2121a, just again for clarification purposes, I know that we're going with the new NBCP examination, I was just wondering if we could get clarification as to when bulletins and information would be available for schools to get the students prepared, and if there would be a meeting or a training on that for the schools.

And then on page 7, Rule 338.2180(10)(b), again on page 7, I had a question or for some clarification. It talks about the, not having branch facilities anymore but each school having its own individual license and that each instructor working for the school has to have their license hung in the separate premise location. Would the e-mailed copy that we receive when we get our license, would that be accepted, or would we have to have an actual -- purchase a new license for each building? So again, clarification on that.

I did have page 9, but page 9 again is just the removal of the minimum practical applications. And so on Rule 338.2136(2)(b), I think that we need to put the minimum practical applications in there as well when we're transferring students' training hours and practical hours, that we should be transferring their applications as well since they are part of the

curriculum still.

Now on page 10, Rule 338.2139, Rule 39(1), there's no longer a passing score requirement like specified, before it used to be a student had to pass with a 75 percent or above, it just states that we have to pass with a passing score. So is it up to the school to determine the passing score? Are we going to follow the passing score of the NBCP examination when we get that? So again, more clarification, it's not very clear as to what the new passing score should be.

And then page 24 through 26 is where we have the biggest concern, and it's the cosmetology table for the curriculum, and I can e-mail you a copy of what I typed out as well because it might be a little bit more helpful. But the concern is -- there's a lot of concerns with the curriculum. So first and foremost, the theory hours, the way it's broken down now, the students in section (a), the health and safety related to skin care and then it goes into anatomy, chemistry, diseases, disorders, laws and rules, occupational safety, professional hygiene, sanitation equipment, all of that is now only 75 hours, whereas before it was 155. And so for that much sanitation and infection control and diseases and disorders, I don't think that that's sufficient theory hours, especially when we're also

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

having to train in, more in depth in manicuring and aesthetics in those categories as well. But more concerning is when we take a look at part (b), (c), and (d) with the theory and practical hours, so when we break it down -- let me take a look at my math here. When we break it down, the cosmetology students' practical hours -- oh, and I do believe that the 125 is too much in the sanitation.

But moving on, if we look into the hair services, they receive 600 hours in practical hours and 425 minimum practical applications to be completed within that 600 hours; so if we were to do the math on that, we are giving the student an hour, 1 hour .41, so not quite an hour and a half to perform. If we look at the categories, they have 15 topics, so if we were just to take those 15 topics, we are giving them about just less than an hour and a half to perform one of those, and as a cosmetologist, a hair color service alone could take upwards to 2, 3, 6 hours; whereas if we looked at the aesthetics or the manicuring services, they have 150 practical hours to perform 25 services, that's giving them approximately 6 hours per task to do, and we're only looking at 4 tasks in aesthetics and 3 tasks in manicuring. So I really think that the table is overweighted in practical and theory for aesthetics and

25

skin
manic
hair

skin care -- I'm sorry -- skin care services and manicuring services and not heavily weighted enough in hair services. Does that make sense, what I'm trying explain?

And it also falls true when we're looking at the theory portion of it. So if we were to look at the theory portion of it, in hair -- in nail services anesthetic services, the theory portion, we're giving them 20 hours per topic in manicuring services of theory and we're giving them 16.66 hours per topic in theory and aesthetics, but they're only receiving 8 hours per topic in theory -- I'm sorry, not 8 -- 15 hours in theory. Let me double check my note here, I'm making an error, I know I am. Yes, 15 hours in cosmetology services. So again, it's just, I think that what we were trying to do was get them more training in skin and nails, which I agree with, however, now we've tipped the scale so much into nails and manicuring and not enough into cosmetology services.

And also, when we look at the textbook support that's offered, we have Pivot Point or Milady as our training books that are accepted by the State. If you were to look at those, they're only giving us like 37 hours in skin and 34-35 hours in nails. Unfortunately, what is the school to do? They're going to have to purchase a cosmetology, a manicuring, and an aesthetics

book and pass that cost down to the students, which a majority of the students in the cosmetology program don't have that much desire in skin and nails, they'd rather learn more about the trendy hair services, the cutting and the colors and things of that nature. So I just think that we need to take a more thorough look at those grids and those curriculums because it's too heavily weighted in the nails and in the manicuring and not enough in the hair services. So I think that's pretty much it on the grid.

And then moving on from the curriculum, on page 71 -- I'm sorry -- 33, it's Rule 71(e) on page 33. There's a new statement here that states, and I'm not really clear on it, "The manufacturer-labeled container of each disinfectant and sterilant that is used." I'm not really sure what that means. Are they requiring that we use the disinfectant in the manufacturer-labeled bottle? I'm not really sure what you're asking for. And if that's the case, what is schools and salons supposed to do that purchase it in gallons and then dilute it down and put it into a separate disinfecting bottle? So I just, again, more clarification there because that's kind of confusing.

And then on page 34, again, Rule No.

71(1)(b)(i), it states manicuring -- so it talks about

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

having to disinfect tools in a covered container that's fully submerged. Does that now change the requirement for manicuring tools? So prior to this rule, in most states and most salons and schools used a jar where the working surface of the tool is submerged into the disinfectant and a good part of the handle is out of the jar; so would those no longer be allowed, or would we have to switch our disinfection container? What is the detailed specific protocol for disinfecting manicuring schools?

And then I just have two more. Page 34 still, Rule 71a(4), it states that we have to now launder towels, neck strips, and capes in a detergent with hot water up to 160 degrees Fahrenheit for not less than 24 -- 25 minutes in a wash and rinse cycle. I mean I'm not that young, my washing machine at home and my washing machine at work doesn't state in temperature 160 degrees, so I just want clarification as to how do we determine that we're using that water temperature if the state board inspector was to come in, what are they going to do to check this, what do we need to do on our end to make sure that we're not in default or problem with that?

And then my last one is on page 35,
71b(b), and it talks about sanitizing hands. I think
that the cosmetology or hair services should be included
Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

in that statement. I agree that we should sanitize our hands with electrology, manicuring, and skin care, but why not cosmetology hair services; especially in the day of COVID right now, I definitely think we need to add hair services to that as well. And that's all my concerns.

MS. MARKS: Thank you, Kathy.

KATHERINE PAVLIK: Thank you.

MS. MARKS: Are there any other comments from those attending by video? Again, please use the "Raise Your Hand" function. Comments about the Cosmetology Rules? I'm not seeing any others.

Let's move on to those attending by phone. If there's anyone attending by phone who would like to make a comment about the Cosmetology Rules, hit *6, it will unmute your phone, and you may add your comment to the record.

MS. PRZYBYLO: I don't see comments,

Dena. Oh, there's -- hold on one second. The person

whose number ends in 8230, did you have a comment?

CHAKAKHAN HILL: Actually, I just wanted

to confirm that I accepted the invitation to attend.

MS. PRZYBYLO: Okay. Hold on one second, please. Could you please first identify yourself for our court reporter.

CHAKAKHAN HILL: Yes. Chakakhan Hill, 1 2 first name spelled C-h-a-k-a-k-h-a-n, last name Hill, 3 H-i-l-l. 4 MS. PRZYBYLO: And what was your comment, 5 Ms. Hill? 6 CHAKAKHAN HILL: I confirm accepting 7 invitation to attend this General Rules - Cosmetology hearing because I am a licensed cosmetologist, and that's 8 9 all. MS. PRZYBYLO: All right. Thank you. 10 11 MS. MARKS: Okay. If there are no other 12 comments on the Cosmetology - General Rules. Is there 13 anyone here who wishes to make comments on the Pharmacy -14 Animal Euthanasia and Sedation Rules? If so and you're 15 attending by video, please use the "Raise Your Hand" 16 function and we will call on you to make those comments 17 I'm not seeing any, are you, Kerry? 18 MS. PRZYBYLO: No. 19 MS. MARKS: If you are phoning in and 20 would like to make a comment on the Pharmacy - Animal 21 Euthanasia and Sedation Rules, please hit *6, and you may 22 add your comment to the record at this time. 23 MS. PRZYBYLO: Cathy Anderson has a 24 comment. 25 MS. MARKS: Thank you. Go ahead, Cathy. Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

Can you unmute yourself, Cathy?

CATHY ANDERSON: Sorry about that.

MS. MARKS: That's okay. First and last name with spelling, and identify any organization you're representing, please.

KATHERINE PAVLIK: Absolutely. I am

Dr. Cathy Anderson, C-a-t-h-y, Anderson, A-n-d-e-r-s-o-n,

and I am speaking on behalf of the MVMA, Michigan

Veterinary Medical Association. Thank you.

I wanted to briefly introduce myself and tell you why I'm on this call. I am a shelter veterinarian, you probably hear my patients in the background; I am also an instructor for shelter personnel in the state-required euthanasia by injection course, as well as the chemical immobilization course, so I do offer instruction in both of those courses that are impacted by this proposed rule change. And as I've said, I'm also the, a member of the Michigan Veterinary Medical Association, and am indeed the chairperson of the legislative advisory committee for that group.

We were one of the stakeholders involved in the drafting of the original House Bill that went through and subsequently became part of the Public Health Code, and it was our group, which included Michigan Department of Ag, Michigan Humane, Michigan Association

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

of Animal Control Officers, that brought forth that law change.

I am representing the MVMA and would like to say thank you so much for all the work you've done on drafting the rules surrounding this amendment, but I do want to ask that, respectfully, that you all review a letter that we have already sent in with some proposed changes to the language that, with the current draft that you have put forward. We are hoping that you will consider the edits we have suggested prior to formally submitting the draft of these rules. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MS. MARKS: Thank you.

MS. PRZYBYLO: Thank you, Dr. Anderson.

MS. MARKS: Are there any other comments concerning the Pharmacy - Animal Euthanasia and Sedation Rules?

MS. PRZYBYLO: Shirene Cece, is her hand raised?

SHIRENE CECE-CLEMENTS: Yes. I am

Shirene Cece-Clements, so S-h-i-r-e-n-e C-e-c-e hyphen

C-l-e-m-e-n-t-s. I am a veterinarian with Michigan

Human, I'm also a member of the MVMA.

And so I would just like to -- I'm also an instructor for the euthanasia training in the State of Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

Michigan, and I would just like to put my support behind 1 what Cathy -- Dr. Anderson has already said, and hopeful 2 3 that you will look at our changes and hope that you will address those and see that they're making improvements. 4 5 So that's all I need to say. Thank you very much for your time. 6 7 MS. MARKS: Thank you. Are there any other attending by video who would like to make comments 8 9 concerning the Pharmacy - Animal Euthanasia and Sedation 10 Rules? Not seeing any. 11 Again, if you are attending by phone and 12 would like to make comments about those Rules, hit *6, 13 and that will unmute your phone and you may speak at this 14 time. 15 MS. PRZYBYLO: I think we can move on, 16 Dena. 17 MS. MARKS: All right. If there are no 18 further comments on that rule set --19 SHIRENE CECE-CLEMENTS: Well, that wasn't 20 as painful as I thought. Never been to one of these 21 before, so. 22 MS. MARKS: Is there anyone who would 23 like to make comments on the Podiatric Medicine and 24 Surgery - General Rules? If you are attending by video, 25 please use the "Raise Your Hand" function. I see two Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

people. Derek Dalling, would you like to make comments 1 2 at this time? DEREK DALLING: Yes, thank you. 3 4 morning. 5 MS. MARKS: Good morning. DEREK DALLING: Derek Dalling, D-e-r-e-k, 6 7 last name D-a-l-l-i-n-g. Just want to quickly -- oh, on behalf of the Michigan Podiatric Medical Association, by 8 9 the way. 10 Wanted to just thank the Department staff 11 for work on these rules, we greatly appreciate all of 12 you, especially amending the rules that were some recent 13 changes. Those most recent changes will help podiatric residents from around the country be able to come to our 14 15 state, practice legally. In light of some changes from 16 the COVID pandemic, one of their exams was not allowed, 17 and these rule changes will allow them to practice here 18 without any interference. So we greatly appreciate that 19 rule change and greatly appreciate all the Department 20 staff's help for making those changes for us. Thank you. 21 MS. MARKS: Thank you for your comments. 22 Stacey Hettiger. 23 STACEY HETTIGER: Good morning. 24 you so much for the opportunity to speak. My name is 25 Stacey Hettiger, I'm the senior director of Medical and Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

1 R

3

Regulatory Policy at the Michigan State Medical Society. First name is spelled S-t-a-c-e-y, last name is H-e-t-t-i-g-e-r.

4 And I just wanted to bring to your 5 attention and request an amendment to the Podiatry Rules. As Derek Dalling mentioned, there was a situation as a 6 7 result of the pandemic where the National Board of Podiatric Medical Examiners voted not to do the component 8 9 of the Part 2 examination, and because of that decision, 10 there really is no opportunity for those residents to 11 take the exam, and so no opportunity to have a passing 12 score to provide to LARA. So we are asking that the 13 rules be amended, specifically Rule 104, subsections (2) 14 and (3), to provide a waiver for the class of 2021, as 15 well as any potential future classes where the exam might 16 not be provided. We have also submitted those comments 17 in writing with more detail. So thank you so much, and 18 have a nice day.

MS. MARKS: Thank you. Are there any other participants attending by video who would like to make comments about the Podiatric Medicine Rules? I'm not seeing any others.

Again, if you are attending by phone and would like to make comments about that rule set, please hit *6, and you may add your comments at this time.

Penn Reporting, LLC - lori.penn@yahoo.com

24

19

20

21

22

23

25

If there are no other persons who wish to speak at this time, we are going to take a ten-minute break to allow anyone else who would like to join and make comments about any of those three rule sets today to do so at that time. So we are on recess for ten minutes. Thank you.

(At 9:29 a.m., there was a ten-minute recess.)

MS. MARKS: We will go back on the record. Are there any other comments about any of the three rule sets? Again, if you're attending by video, please use the "Raise Your Hand" function; if you are attending by phone, please hit *6 to unmute yourself to speak.

If there are no further comments at this time, I hereby declare the hearing closed. The record will remain open until today at 5:00 p.m. for any other comments you may wish to share about the proposed rules. Thank you for attending today's hearing.

(At 9:40 a.m., the public hearing concluded.)

25

CERTIFICATE

I, Lori Anne Penn, do hereby certify that I reported in stenotype via Zoom Teleconference the proceedings had in the within-entitled matter, that being Cosmetology - General Rules (MOAHR #2020-50 LR), Pharmacy - Animal Euthanasia and Sedation Rules (MOAHR #2019-86 LR), and Podiatric Medicine and Surgery - General Rules (MOAHR #2020-24 LR), Dena Marks, hearing facilitator for the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Professional Licensing, Lansing, Michigan, on Monday, May 17, 2021; and do further certify that the foregoing transcript, consisting of 22, is a true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes.

Lori Anne Penn

Lori Anne Penn, CSR-1315 Penn Reporting, LLC lori.penn@yahoo.com

Dated: May 18, 2021