Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules

611 W. Ottawa Street Lansing, MI 48909

Phone: 517-335-8658 Fax: 517-335-9512

AGENCY REPORT TO THE JOINT COMMITEE ON ADMNINISTRATIVE RULES (JCAR)

1. Agency Information

Agency name:

Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

Division/Bureau/Office:

Marihuana Regulatory Agency

Name of person completing this form:

Jessica Fox

Phone number of person completing this form:

517-284-9294

E-mail of person completing this form:

FOXJ12@michigan.gov

Name of Department Regulatory Affairs Officer reviewing this form:

Elizabeth Arasim

2. Rule Set Information

MOAHR assigned rule set number:

2019-68 LR

Title of proposed rule set:

Marihuana Licensees

3. Purpose for the proposed rules and background:

To provide one, cohesive set of rules for regulating marihuana licenses for both adult use and medical marihuana in the State of Michigan.

4. Summary of proposed rules:

The proposed topic-based rule sets are intended to provide clarity/consistency to those working in both the medical and adult use markets. The rule sets provide for the implementation of a program to license medical/adult use marihuana businesses. The rule sets implement, administer, and enforce appropriate standards for marihuana businesses and associated equipment and establish regulations ensuring the safety, security, and integrity of the operation of marihuana businesses.

5. List names of newspapers in which the notice of public hearing was published and publication dates:

The Flint Journal (Pub: 1/28/20), The Grand Rapids Press (Pub: 1/28/20), The Mining Journal (Pub: 1/16/20)

Agency Report to JCAR-Page 2

6. Date of publication of rules and notice of public hearing in Michigan Register:

2/1/2020

7. Date, time, and location of public hearing:

2/12/2020 09:30 AM at Auditorium, 525 West Ottawa Street, Lansing, Michigan

8. Provide the link the agency used to post the regulatory impact statement and cost-benefit analysis on its website:

https://dtmb.state.mi.us/ARS Public/Transaction/RFRTransaction?TransactionID=12

9. List of the name and title of agency representative(s) attending public hearing:

Executive Director Andrew Brisbo, Legal Analyst Kelly Kronner, Legal Analyst Jessica Fox, Legal Analyst Joshua Galicki

10. Persons submitting comments of support:

Dykema Gossett, PLLC Michigan Cannabis Industry Association Kelly Young of My TCBD, Inc. Benjamin D. Joffe, PLLC

11. Persons submitting comments of opposition:

Michigan Cannabis Manufacturers Association

12. Identify any changes made to the proposed rules based on comments received during the public comment period:

The section header includes that the header is incorrect and "exemption for industrial hemp" hemp is not addressed in the rule. Dykema Gossett, PLLC The agency agrees that the header is incorrect and "exemption for industrial hemp" should be deleted. The definition of employee does not include change for consistency with		Name & Organization	Comments made at public hearing	Written Comments	Agency Rationale for change	Rule number & citation changed
Gossett, PLLC employee does not include change for professional consistency with	1	-		header includes "exemption for industrial hemp" but industrial hemp is not addressed in the	that the header is incorrect and "exemption for industrial hemp"	
should. other sections.	2	*		employee does not include professional services and	and makes this change for consistency with this change in	420.101(f)

Agency Report to JCAR-Page 3

3	Michigan	Plants that count The agency agrees	420 102(2)
	Cannabis	towards the plant with this commen	
	Industry	count should be and definition.	
	Association	flowering	
	Association	marihuana plants	
		as defined by	
		them.	
4	Valle Vanna of		420 102(2)
4	Kelly Young of		420.102(2)
	My TCBD, Inc.	towards the plant not agree with this	•
		count should be comment and	
		flowering agrees with the	
		marihuana plants. comments above.	
5	Michigan	This standard is The agency does	420.102(2)
	Cannabis	too loose and has not agree with this	;
	Manufacturers	the great comment and	
	Association	possibility of agrees with the	
		abuse. comments above.	
6	Michigan	This standard is The agency makes	420.105(1)(a)
	Cannabis	too loose and has this change to be	
	Manufacturers	the great consistent with the	
	Association	possibility of changes to	
	1 ISSO CIACION	abuse. 420.102(2).	
7	Michigan	Proposed New definition	420.101(g)
l '	Cannabis	definition of inserted as the	120.101(g)
	Industry	flowering agency agrees	
	Association	marihuana plant. with the definition	
	Association	as inserted this	
		phrase into the	
		rules.	
8	Doniomin D		420 101(a)(i)
0	Benjamin D.	\mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}	
	Joffe, PLLC	applicant does and has made this	(I)
		not include modification.	
		anyone under a	
		trust.	120 101(1)()
9	Benjamin D.	The definition of The agency makes	` ' ' '
	Joffe, PLLC	applicant does this change to	(ii)
		not include provide clarity to	
		anyone under a applicants.	
		trust.	

Agency Report to JCAR-Page 4

10	Benjamin D.			The agency makes	420.103(1)
	Joffe, PLLC	applic	ant does	this chain to	
		not in	clude	increase the	
		anyon	ie under a	flexibility of	
		trust.		provision of	
				marihuana	
				products in the	
				market.	
11	Benjamin D.	The d	efinition of	The agency makes	420.104(1)
	Joffe, PLLC	applic	ant does	this chain to	
		not in	clude	increase the	
		anyon	ie under a	flexibility of	
		trust.		provision of	
				marihuana	
				products in the	
				market.	

13.Date report completed:

3/23/2020