1	DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
2	CORPORATION, SECURITIES, AND COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU
3	
4	UNARMED COMBAT RULES
5	Rule Set 2018-105 LR
6	
7	
8	PUBLIC HEARING
9	Friday, March 15, 2019
10	9:00 a.m.
11	702 West Kalamazoo Street
12	Lansing, Michigan
13	
14	ORIGINAL
15	
16	
17	Presiding:
18	Mr. Mackenzie Jones
19	Speakers:
20	Bruce Hundley
21	Donald Weatherspoon
22	Timothy Steckel
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Melinda S. Nardone, CSR-1311

\_

Lansing, Michigan
Friday, March 15, 2019
9:30 a.m.

## RECORD

MR. JONES: This is a public hearing on proposed administrative rules entitled Unarmed Combat.

This hearing is being conducted pursuant to the provisions of 2004 Public Act 403, the Michigan Unarmed Regulatory Act, and on behalf of the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Corporations, Securities, and Commercial Licensing Bureau.

This hearing is being called to order at approximately 9:33 in the morning on Friday, March 15th, 2019, at 702 West Kalamazoo Street, Lansing, Michigan, 48915, in the forum on the first floor, pursuant to the notice of the public hearing published in three newspapers of general circulation, Flint Journal on or around February 28, 2019, Marquette Mining Journal on or around February 26th, 2019; and the Kalamazoo Gazette on or around February 28th, 2018; and on the agency's website. The notice and the proposed rules were published in the March 1st, 2019, issue of the Michigan Register and were posted to the Office of Regulatory Reinvention's website at www.michigan.gov/orr.

This hearing is being conducted under the

authority conferred upon the department by the Michigan
Unarmed Combat Regulatory Act and Executive
Reorganization Order numbers 2008-4, 2011-4, MCL
445.2025 and 445.2030 and in accordance with 1969 Public

Act 306, the Administrative Procedures Act.

My name is Mack Jones from the Corporations, Securities, and Commercial Licensing Bureau. I am conducting the public hearing today.

We are here to receive comments and recommendations on the proposed rules. Testimony and written comments presented at this hearing or received in writing will be reviewed for consideration of any changes or additions to the proposed rules and will become part of the public record.

submitted to the Corporations, Securities, and
Commercial Licensing Bureau and the Office of Policy and
Legislative Affairs to review any possible changes in
response to the public's comments. They will then go to
the office of Regulatory Reinvention and Legislative
Services Bureau for certification and will be forwarded
to the joint committee on administrative rules. After
final approval the rules will be filed with the
Secretary of State and will take effect immediately
after filing.

Please sign in and include your name and address so that your attendance can be included in the public record. If you wish to speak, please make sure you have indicated your willingness to speak on the sign in sheet. We will call on speakers in the order in which the names are listed on the sign in sheet. When you come forward to speak please identify yourself with your name, the organization you represent, and both your mailing and email address so that this information may be transcribed into the hearing report, and by come forward I mean to the podium.

If you do not wish to testify but wish to state your support or opposition to the rules please complete the testimony card and give it to me or my colleagues to include into the public record.

If you did not bring a prepared statement the record will remain open until five p.m. on Monday, March 18th, 2019, for additional written comments which may be submitted to me by email at jonesm52@michigan.gov.

In making suggestions for any changes to the proposed rules please give reasons such a change would be in the public's interest. If you support or oppose the rules as written, you may make a statement to that effect.

First up we have Bruce Hundley, will you please come to the podium?

MR. HUNDLEY: Good morning. My name is Bruce Hundley, I live at 3056 East Coon Lake Road in Howell, Michigan. I have several issues that I would like -- or rules that I would like to make a comment on. First off I'd like to mention that I am about fighter safety, to me that's very important. And one of the first rules that I'd like to address is 339.202 regarding the weighing in.

I think there should be more oversight on determining the weights of the fighters. We do have rules that address the two percent, I think there should be interim weigh ins for fighters or a system set up to where if a fighter is notified or asked to fight on a short notice that he is weighed and that the weight is not so much that it causes dehydration, dehydration is the number one cause of concussions. So that particular rule I would like to see more oversight in to, you know, to regulate the weight of the fighters. It's from a safety standpoint.

The next rule that I wanted to address was 205b, which had to do with the seating of the commissioners. I would submit to you that the commissioners are not -- that the commissioners do have

a very important part in these events and that they should have a good unobstructed view. I mean many times I've seen things that you would not observe from a -- with an obstructed view or from a different area. The other thing I would like to see is an assurance that commissioners have all area access when they go into these events.

Another safety issue that I would like to bring up is the idea of the ambulance, where the ambulance is. In the 339.213 ringside equipment it mentions that the ambulance with emergency medical technicians must be on-site. On-site to me could mean that it's, you know, 100 yards away sitting out in a parking lot, which I have seen. I would rather -- I would like to see this ringside equipment specify that the EMTs must be in the building where they have immediate access to any injured fighter.

And the last one deals with pretty much the same thing, that's rule 339.235, pre- -- it's under the pre-contest physical examination, item number six, where it says a physician -- a contest will not begin without a physician and an attended ambulance present. Once again, I think that these -- that the EMT should be inside the unit within a close location to the ringside. Thank you.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments,

Mr. Hundley. Next up we have Donald Weatherspoon.

MR. WEATHERSPOON: Good morning. My name is Donald Weatherspoon, I live at 8942 Old M-78 in Haslett, Michigan. I'm here representing myself, however, I am relatively new to the commission.

I want to echo the things that Mr. Hundley said as we -- he's been a guiding light for me on the commission in terms of bringing my attention to certain things in and around the ring. And we share a common view in fighter safety, and I would extend that to ring personnel safety as well. So I'm not going to reiterate everything he said.

I do want to call your attention to one particular rule that I think needs to be stricken in terms of the proposed rule, and that's 205b(2), and that is the seating of the commissioners. The unobstructed view of commissioners in my mind is essential. I've only -- in my experience I think I've attended about 95 percent of all the fights, and that will be MMA fights and boxing, since I've been on the commission. And only -- on two occasions where there has been some contest of where I was seated and I would think some kind of animosity toward the commission and its rules.

And in those events there were a couple

things that jumped out at my attention. The first one was when we just did a casual walk through there was equipment that was, let's just say, insufficient for the contest.

The second thing was the safety of ring personnel, particularly after a fighter has suffered, let's say, a knock out and while they are still recovering they -- in one instance they still thought they were fighting and they got up and on a couple of occasions put their hands on the referee. On another occasion they contested the decision for -- when they tapped out, it was an MMA fight and they tapped out and the fighter left the ring and then went into the crowd and began to agitate in the crowd.

And even though that is not our jurisdiction, as I watched what was going on, that person in my view should have been handled by their corner. But the advantage of being able to see what happened as it transpired and then do what I did, what I did consequently was we have the ability, meaning as a commissioner, to advise commission staff of what we observed. We also have the ability to make a complaint. I chose not to make a complaint but rather just to advise staff of what was going on and staff responded appropriately, which was something that I was very

appreciative of.

Now, if the question arises as to why am I speaking at this public event -- public hearing as opposed to having worked through the commission. When I first came on the commission the rule was already proposed but I did say in writing that I felt that there should have been a public hearing and was told that the department would handle that, so this is the first opportunity where I could speak publicly about my concern. It's not that I'm going against the commission, I did advise the chair that I was going to speak today and what I was going to say specifically with rule 205b sub par 2 about commission and unobstructed view. Thank you very much.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments, Mr. Weatherspoon. Up next we have Timothy Steckel.

MR. STECKEL: Hello, Timothy Steckel here, address 28239 Gita Street, and that's Livonia, Michigan, 48154.

Basically I'm kind of here today to talk about, much like some others, rule 205b, especially the sub point 2. As far as fighter safety, community safety, ref safety, things like that, commissioners really need to be able to have totally unobstructed view and kind of be immersed in what's happening, everything

that's going on, cage side, ringside, whatever it may be. And by being seated in, you know, different parts of the auditorium, stadium, you're just not going to get that same perspective that you're going to get right there up at the front.

You're going to be seeing things like toes in the cage, you're going to be seeing things like, as was mentioned, you know, fighters, you know, pushing referees, things like that. There's a lot of subtle things that happen in the passion of the sport that you're going to have to be up close and personal to kind of really delve into all that stuff and to be able to see those things happening and see the different issues that are happening during bouts and stuff like that. So that's definitely an important point.

The other reason that I'm here today is to kind of add in some language, especially around the rule 215 where just as far as officials like referees, judges, judges especially, right now there is -- you basically can sign up to be a judge, you put your approval in, but we'd like to have something added in there as judge certification.

And there is different types of classes, certification that you can take, but the ABC certification is kind of the primary certification for

judges and referees. And in most people's opinion, especially my opinion, there are really only two, Big
John McCarthy's COMMAND course out in Las Vegas and Herb
Dean's judge course in Pasadena. Those are the two gold
standards as far as judging criteria and referee
criteria and training basically in the world.

And the fact that currently there's judges and referees out there who have not taken any certification, and I've even heard judges and referees not know what certain techniques were, not know, you know, all sorts of different things that are just critical to the fight game in and of itself. So the fact that, you know, there's not really any training is definitely concerning. There's fighters' careers are on the line, you know, the integrity of the sport is on the line, and for there to be judges especially that are deciding the fate of a bout to not know the very basics of mixed martial arts is definitely concerning. So definitely wanted to add that in.

Also, a couple other points would be, as was mentioned earlier, there's a few -- there's been a few bouts that we've been witness to -- that I've been witness to that during the bout something hasn't gone in one fighter's way, he was not knocked out or a TKO, he didn't agree, began shoving the referee, kind of causing

\_ \_

a scene, action like that obviously can't be tolerated. The referees are there to protect the fighters, they are there to ensure the safety and to make sure that everything kind of goes off in a safe manner and they can't be putting their hands on the refs, it's just -- that's totally unacceptable.

The same thing with as far as in the event of a decision, you know, we should have a process to where -- I personally have no problem explaining decisions to people, but during the fight is not the time, you know. We -- many officials, many judges have had fighters afterwards right after their fight come in and try to basically argue a decision with them or their team members and stuff like that. Which, hey, I get it, you know, tempers are high, emotions are high at the time, no problem explaining a decision, but at the fight as another fight is happening is not the right time for it.

There should definitely be a process to where -- you know, a time and a place to where -- whether that's post fight meetings by the promotion or something like that that maybe can go into effect. But, yeah, there's already a lot going on and especially when another bout has started you can't really be, you know, explaining to one fighter why he lost the decision.

It just -- again, this also falls back into the educational and certification, you know, a lot of people are just not aware of the ABC rules as far as criteria for judging. There's a lot of -- there's fighters that don't know the rules, I mean, there is coaches that don't know the rules. So education is really important and we just want to see some sort of a qualification required. You know, you should have an ABC certification to ref and to judge, you know, in the State of Michigan, and it should come from a reputable source.

There's a lot of places you just show up to the seminar, here, here is your certification. But as I mentioned, the COMMAND course, John McCarthy and Herb Dean's course, those are two gold standards in the industry. They've reffed and judged some of the most high profile events and probably the most events as far as experience-wise. So those two are -- and they make you go through the paces, they make you show all the technique, you have to know the technique and not just know it you have to show it. You have to explain why you're judging or you're reffing a certain way, you've got to know all the procedures behind everything.

So it's not just a blanket gloss over, you know, quick presentation for an hour or five hours, but

you don't actually have to prove your knowledge at some of these places. There you have to prove your knowledge, you have to test out, and you have to show that you're competent. And I think we owe the fighters that and I think we owe the sport that. And that's pretty much it.

MR. JONES: Thank you for your comments. If there are no other people who wish to speak at this time we will take a short recess. We will reconvene at 10:03 a.m.

## (A recess was taken.)

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  JONES: The hearing is now open again. The time is approximately 10:07 a.m.

Are there any other people who wish to speak at this time? If there are no further comments I hereby declare the hearing closed. The current time is 10:07 a.m. As a reminder, if you did not bring a prepared statement the record will remain open until five p.m. on Monday, March 18th, for additional written comments, which may be submitted to me by email at jonesm52@michigan.gov. Thank you for your time.

(Whereupon Hearing concluded at 10:08 a.m.)

1 STATE OF MICHIGAN ) SS 2 COUNTY OF INGHAM 3 I, Melinda Nardone, Certified Shorthand 4 Reporter and Notary Public in and for the County of 5 Ingham, State of Michigan, do hereby certify that the 6 foregoing Hearing was taken before me at the time and 7 place hereinbefore set forth. 8 I further certify that the testimony 9 then given was reported by me stenographically; 10 subsequently with computer-aided transcription, produced 11 under my direction and supervision; and that the 12 foregoing is a full, true, and correct transcript of my 13 original shorthand notes. 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 15 my hand and seal this 28th day of March, 2019. 16 17 Melinda S. Nardone, CSR-1311, 18 Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Notary Public, County 19 of Ingham, State of Michigan. My Commission Expires: 10-24-24 20 21 22 23 24

.